



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,969	10/13/2005	Anton Arnold Van Der Heiden	1458-003	1664
32905 7590 10/12/2010 JONDLE & ASSOCIATES P.C. 858 HAPPY CANYON ROAD SUITE 230 CASTLE ROCK, CO 80108				
EXAMINER BUL PHUONG T				
ART UNIT 1638		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 10/12/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JondleOA@jondlelaw.com

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/552,969</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN DER HEIDEN, ANTON ARNOLD</p>			
<p><b>Examiner</b> Phuong T. Bui</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"><b>Art Unit</b> 1638</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>			<b>Art Unit</b> 1638	
<b>Art Unit</b> 1638					

**All Participants:**

(1) Phuong T. Bui.

(2) Barbara Campbell.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
*new matter*

Claims discussed:  
*all*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*The general nature of the invention was discussed. The specification does not appear to provide support for amending "green immature" to "mature". Claims 18, 23 and 29 support comparative values between immature green fruits, rather than mature fruits. Applicant was unable to contact inventor for clarification. A new matter rejection will be made in the next Office action.*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/Phuong T. Bui/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20100930